

K-TRIVIALS ARE NEVER CONTINUOUSLY RANDOM

GEORGE BARMPALIAS, NOAM GREENBERG, ANTONIO MONTALBÁN,
AND THEODORE A. SLAMAN

1. INTRODUCTION

In [RS07, RS08], Reimann and Slaman raise the question “For which infinite binary sequences X do there exist continuous probability measures μ such that X is effectively random relative to μ ?”. They defined the collection NCR_1 of binary sequences for which such measures do not exist (we give formal definitions below), and showed, for example, that NCR_1 is countable, indeed that every sequence in NCR_1 is hyperarithmetic. In this paper we contribute toward the understanding of NCR_1 by showing that it contains all sets which are Turing reducible to an incomplete, recursively enumerable set. In particular, NCR_1 contains all K -trivial sets.

1.1. Randomness relative to continuous measures. We begin by reviewing the basic definitions needed to precisely formulate this question.

Notation 1.1.

- For $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$, $[\sigma]$ is the basic open subset of 2^ω consisting of those X 's which extend σ . Similarly, for W a subset of $2^{<\omega}$, let $[W]$ be the open set given by the union of the basic open sets $[\sigma]$ such that $\sigma \in W$.
- For $U \subseteq 2^\omega$, $\lambda(U)$ denotes the measure of U under the uniform distribution. Thus, $\lambda([\sigma])$ is $1/2^\ell$, where ℓ is the length of σ .

Definition 1.2. A *representation* m of a probability measure μ on 2^ω provides, for each $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$, a sequence of intervals with rational endpoints, each interval containing $\mu([\sigma])$, and with lengths converging monotonically to 0.

Definition 1.3. Suppose that $Z \in 2^\omega$. A *test relative to Z* , or *Z -test*, is a set $W \subseteq \omega \times 2^{<\omega}$ which is recursively enumerable in Z . For $X \in 2^\omega$, X *passes* a test W if and only if there is an n such that $X \notin [W_n]$.

Definition 1.4. Suppose that m represents the measure μ on 2^ω and that W is an m -test.

- W is *correct for μ* if and only if for all n , $\mu([W_n]) \leq 2^{-n}$.
- W is *Solovay-correct for μ* if and only if $\sum_{n \in \omega} \mu([W_n]) < \infty$.

Definition 1.5. $X \in 2^\omega$ is *1-random relative to a representation m of μ* if and only if X passes every m -test which is correct for μ . When m is understood, we say that X is *1-random relative to μ* .

By an argument of Solovay, see [Nie09], X is 1-random relative to a representation m of μ if and only if for every m -test which is Solovay-correct for μ , there are infinitely many n such that $X \notin [W_n]$.

Definition 1.6. $X \in \text{NCR}_1$ if and only if there is no representation m of a continuous measure μ such that X is 1-random relative to the representation m of μ .

In [RS08], Reimann and Slaman show that if X is not hyperarithmetic, then there is a continuous measure μ such that X is 1-random relative to μ . Conversely, Kjørs-Hanssen and Montalbán, see [Mon05], have shown that if X is an element of a countable Π_1^0 -class, then there is no continuous measure for which X is 1-random. As the Turing degrees of the elements of countable Π_1^0 -classes are cofinal in the Turing degrees of the hyperarithmetic sets, the smallest ideal in the Turing degrees that contains the degrees represented in NCR_1 is exactly the Turing degrees of the hyperarithmetic sets.

In [RSte], Reimann and Slaman pose the problem to find a natural Π_1^1 -norm for NCR_1 and to understand its connection with the natural norm mapping a hyperarithmetic set X to the ordinal at which X is first constructed. As of the writing of this paper, this problem is open in general, but completed in [RSte] for $X \in \Delta_2^0$.

Suppose that $X \in \Delta_2^0$ and that for all n , $X(n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t(n)$, where $X_t(n)$ is a computable function of n and t . Let g_X be the convergence function for this approximation, that is for all n , $g_X(n)$ is the least s such that for all $t \geq s$ and all $m \leq n$, $X_t(m) = X(m)$. Let f_X be function obtained by iterated application of g_X : $f_X(0) = g_X(0)$ and $f_X(n+1) = g_X(f_X(n))$.

For a representation m of a continuous measure μ , the granularity function s_m maps $n \in \omega$ to the least ℓ found in the representation of μ by m such that for all σ of length ℓ , $\mu([\sigma]) < 1/2^n$. Note that, s_m is well-defined by the compactness of 2^ω .

Theorem 1.7 (Reimann and Slaman [RSte]). *Let X be a Δ_2^0 set and let f_X be the function defined as above. If X is 1-random relative to the representation m of μ , then the granularity function s_m for μ is eventually bounded by f_X .*

In the proof of Theorem 1.7, the possibility that s_m eventually bound f_X is ruled out since it would imply that X is recursive in m , contradicting X 's being 1-random. The possibility that neither function bound the other is ruled out by the direct construction of a Martin-Löf test for μ , defined using g and the recursive approximation to X , which X would fail, again contradicting X 's being 1-random.

It follows that, for Δ_2^0 sets X , there is a continuous measure relative to which X is 1-random if and only if there is a continuous measure whose granularity is eventually bounded by f_X . The latter condition is arithmetic, again by a compactness argument.

1.2. K -triviality. K -triviality is a property of sequences which characterizes another aspect of their being far from random. We briefly review this notion and the results surrounding it. A full treatment is given in Nies [Nie09].

For $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$, let $K(\sigma)$ denote the prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of σ . Intuitively, given a universal computable U with domain an antichain in $2^{<\omega}$, $K(\sigma)$ is length of the shortest τ such that $U(\tau) = \sigma$. Similarly, for $X \in 2^\omega$, let $K^X(\sigma)$ denote the prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of σ relative to X . That is, K^X is determined by a function universal among those computable relative to X .

Definition 1.8. A sequence $X \in 2^\omega$ is K -trivial if and only if there is a constant k such that for every ℓ , $K(X \upharpoonright \ell) \leq K(0^\ell) + k$, where 0^ℓ is the sequence of 0's of length ℓ .

By early results of Chaitin and Solovay and later results of Nies and others, there are a variety of equivalents to K -triviality and a variety of properties of the K -trivial sets. For example, X is K -trivial if and only if for every sequence R , R is 1-random for λ if and only if R is 1-random for λ relative to X .

In the next section, we will apply the following.

Theorem 1.9 (Nies [Nie09], strengthening Chaitin [Cha76]). *If X is K -trivial, then there is a computably enumerable and K -trivial set which computes X .*

The following lemma follows from the work of Nies and others [Nie09]. Some versions of this property have been used by Kučera extensively, e.g. in [Kuč85].

Lemma 1.10. *Suppose that X is K -trivial and $\{U_e^X : e \in \omega\}$ a uniformly $\Sigma_1^{0,X}$ family of sets. Then, there is a computable function g and a Σ_1^0 set V of measure less than 1 such for every e , if $\lambda(U_e^Z) < 2^{-g(e)}$ for every oracle Z , then $U_e^X \subseteq V$.*

Proof. Let $((E_i^e))_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a uniform sequence of all oracle Martin-Löf tests. A standard construction of a universal oracle Martin-Löf test (T_i) (e.g. see [Nie09]) gives a recursive function f such that $\forall Z \subseteq \omega (E_{f(i,e)}^{e,Z} \subseteq T_i^Z)$ for all $e, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T := T_2$ and $f(e) := f(2, e)$ for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\mu(T^Y) \leq 2^{-2}$ for all $Y \in 2^\omega$ and $E_{f(e)}^e \subseteq T$ for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$. In [KH07] it was shown that X is K -trivial iff for some member T of a universal oracle Martin-Löf test, there is a Σ_1^0 class V with $T^X \subseteq V$ and $\mu(V) < 1$.

Now given a uniform enumeration (U_e) of oracle Σ_1^0 classes we have the following property of T :

There is a recursive function g such that for each e ,
either $\exists Z \subseteq \omega (\mu(U_e^Z) \geq 2^{-g(e)-1})$, or $\forall Z \subseteq \omega (U_e^Z \subseteq T^Z)$.

To see why this is true, note that every U_e can be effectively mapped to the oracle Martin-Löf test (M_i) where $M_i^Z = U_e^Z[s_i]$ and s_i is the largest stage

such that $\mu(U_e^Z[s_i]) < 2^{-i-1}$ (which could be infinity). Effectively in e we can get an index n of (M_i) . It follows that if $\mu(U_e^Z) < 2^{-f(n)-1}$ for all Z , then $U_e^X = M_{f(n)}^X = E_{f(n)}^{n,X} \subseteq T^X \subseteq V$. So $g(e) = f(n) + 1$ is as wanted. \square

1.3. Our results. Intuitively, $X \in \text{NCR}_1$ asserts that X is not effectively random relative to any continuous measure and X is K -trivial asserts that relativizing to X does change the evaluation of randomness relative to the uniform distribution. In the next section, we connect the two notions.

Theorem 1.11. *Every K -trivial set belongs to NCR_1 .*

A recursively enumerable (r.e.) set W is called *incomplete* if it does not compute the halting problem \emptyset' .

Theorem 1.12. *If W is an incomplete r.e. set and $X \leq_T W$, then $X \in \text{NCR}_1$.*

As we mentioned above, Theorem 1.12 implies Theorem 1.11, because every K -trivial set is computable from a r.e. K -trivial set, and every K -trivial set is incomplete. However we believe that the technique in the direct proof of Theorem 1.11 is of independent interest.

2. K -TRIVIAL SETS AND NCR_1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.11.

Let Y be K -trivial and let μ be a continuous measure with representation m ; we want to show Y is not μ -random. By Theorem 1.9, let X be a computably enumerable K -trivial sequence that computes Y . Let f be the iterated convergence function as defined above for the computable approximation to Y given by approximating X 's computation of Y . Since X is computably enumerable, X can compute the convergence function for its own enumeration and hence f is computable from X .

Let s_m be the granularity function for μ as represented by m . By Theorem 1.7, f eventually dominates s_m . By changing finitely many values of f , we may assume that f dominates s_m everywhere. So, we have that for every n

$$\mu([Y \upharpoonright f(n)]) \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Further, we may assume that f can be obtained as the limit of a computable function $f(n, s)$ such that for all s , $f(n-1, s) \leq f(n, s) \leq f(n, s+1)$.

We will build an m -test $\{S_i : i \in \omega\}$ which is Solovay-correct for μ and which Y does not pass, thereby concluding that Y is not μ -random. That is, we plan to build $\{S_i : i \in \omega\}$ to be a uniformly $\Sigma_1^{0,m}$ sequence of sets such that $\sum_{i \in \omega} \mu(S_i)$ is bounded and such that there are co-finitely i for which $Y \in [S_i]$. Our construction will not be uniform.

X 's K -triviality is exploited in the form of Lemma 1.10. Let V and g be given by Lemma 1.10 where $\{U_e^X : e \in \omega\}$ is a listing of all $\Sigma_1^{0,X}$ sets. We will build an oracle Σ_1^0 class U along the construction. We use the recursion theorem to assume that in advance we know an index e such that

$U = U_e$. During the construction we will make sure that for every oracle Z , $\lambda(U^Z) < 2^{-g(e)}$. Lemma 1.10 then implies that $U^X \subseteq V$ where V is a Σ_1^0 class of measure less than 1. To simplify our notation, let a denote $g(e)$. Furthermore, assume a is large enough so that $\lambda(V) + 2^{-a} < 1$.

We use the approximation to X as a computably enumerable set to enumerate approximations to initial segments of Y into the sets S_i ; we rely on the K -triviality of X to keep the total μ -measure of the S_i 's bounded.

For each $n > a$ we have a requirement R_n whose task is to enumerate $Y \upharpoonright f(n)$ into S_n . Let $y_{n,s} = Y_s \upharpoonright f(n,s)$ the stage s approximation to $Y \upharpoonright f(n)$. Let $x_{n,s}$ be the initial segment of X_s necessary to compute $y_{n,s}$ and $f(n,s)$. So, if $y_{n,s+1} \neq y_{n,s}$, it is because $x_{n,s+1} \neq x_{n,s}$. In this case, $x_{n,s+1}$ is not only different than $x_{n,s}$, but also incomparable. At stage s , R_n would like to enumerate $y_{n,s}$ into S_n , but before doing that it will *ask for confirmation* using the fact that $U^X \subseteq V$. Since we are constrained to keep $\lambda(U^X)$ less than or equal to 2^{-a} , we will restrict R_n to enumerate at most 2^{-n} measure into U^X . The reason why we need a bit of security before enumerating a string in S_n is that we have to ensure that $\sum_i \mu(S_i)$ is bounded. For this purpose, we will only enumerate mass into S_n when we see an equivalent mass going into V .

Action of requirement R_n :

- (1) The first time after R_n is initialized, R_n chooses a clopen subset of 2^ω , σ_n , of m -measure 2^{-n} , that is disjoint from V_s and $U_s^{X_s}$. Note that since V and U^{X_s} have measure less than $\lambda(V) + 2^{-a} < 1$, we can always find such a clopen set. Furthermore we can choose σ_n to be different from the σ_i chosen by other requirements R_i , $i > a$. We note the value of σ_n might change if R_n is initialized.
- (2) To *confirm* $x_{n,s}$, requirement R_n enumerates σ_n into $U^{x_{n,s}}$. Requirement R_n will not be allowed to enumerate anything else into U^{X_s} unless X_s changes below $x_{n,s}$. This way R_n is always responsible for at most 2^{-n} measure enumerated in U^{X_s} .
- (3) Then, we wait until a stage $t > s$ such that
 - (a) either $x_{n,s} \not\subseteq x_{n,t}$ (as strings),
 - (b) or $\sigma_n \subseteq V_t$.

Observe that if $x_{n,s}$ is actually an initial segment of X , then we will have $\sigma_n \subseteq U^X \subseteq V$. So, we will eventually find such a stage t .

- In Case 3(a), we start over with R_n . Note that in this case σ_n has come out of U^{X_t} , and hence R_n is responsible for no measure inside U^{X_t} at stage t .
- In Case 3(b), if $\mu([y_{n,t}]) \leq 2^{-n}$, enumerate $y_{n,t}$ into S_n . (Recall that we are allowed to use the representation of μ as an oracle when enumerating S_n .)

Since we only enumerate $y_{n,t}$ of μ -measure less than 2^{-n} when σ_n is enumerated in V , we have that

$$\sum_i \mu(S_i) \leq \lambda(V) < 1.$$

It is not hard to check that $\lambda(U^X) \leq \sum_{n=a+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = 2^{-a}$, so we actually have that $U^X \subseteq V$. Also notice that once $x_{n,s}$ is a initial segment of X , we will eventually enumerate σ_n into V and an initial segment of Y into S_n .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.11.

3. INCOMPLETE R.E. DEGREES AND NCR_1

We turn to the proof of Theorem 1.12. Let W be an incomplete r.e. set, and let $X \leq_T W$.

The fact that W is recursively enumerable and $X \leq_T W$ implies that there is a recursive approximation $X = \lim_t X_t$ such that the modulus functions g_X is recursive in W , hence $f_X \leq_T W$.

Suppose, for contradiction, that X is 1-random relative to a representation m of a continuous measure μ . By Theorem 1.7, by changing f_X at finitely many inputs, we obtain a function $f \leq_T W$ which bounds the granularity function s_m . Let $h(n) = X \upharpoonright f(n)$. So $h \leq_T W$, and for all n , $\mu([h(n)]) < 2^{-n}$.

Let J be a universal partial recursive function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $U_n = \{J(n)\}$ if $n \in \text{dom } J$ and $J(n)$ is a binary string such that $\mu(J(n)) < 2^{-n}$. Otherwise, U_n is empty. Then the test U is recursively enumerable in m , and is correct for μ . Since X must pass U , we see that for all $n \in \text{dom } J$, $h(n) \neq J(n)$.

The function h is diagonally nonrecursive. By Jockusch [Joc89], h computes a fixed-point-free function. This contradicts Arslanov's completeness criterion [Ars81], which states that an incomplete r.e. set cannot compute a fixed-point-free function.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.12.

The question of which Δ_2^0 sets belong to NCR_1 remains open.

REFERENCES

- [Ars81] Marat Arslanov. On some generalizations of the fixed-point theorem. *Soviet Mathematics*, 25:1-10, 1981. Translated from *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii Matematika*.
- [Cha76] Gregory J. Chaitin. Information-theoretic characterizations of recursive infinite strings. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 2(1):45-48, 1976.
- [Joc89] Carl G. Jockusch, Jr. Degrees of functions with no fixed points. In *Logic, methodology and philosophy of science*, VIII (Moscow, 1987), Volume 126 of *Stud. Logic Found. Math.*, pp. 191-201. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

- [KH07] Bjørn Kjos-Hanssen. Low for random reals and positive-measure domination. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(11):3703–3709 (electronic), 2007.
- [Kuč85] Antonín Kučera. Measure, Π_1^0 -classes and complete extensions of PA. In *Recursion theory week (Oberwolfach, 1984)*, volume 1141 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 245–259. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [Mon05] Antonio Montalbán. *Beyond the Arithmetic*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, 2005.
- [Nie09] André Nies. Computability and randomness. to appear, 2009.
- [RS07] Jan Reimann and Theodore A. Slaman. Probability measures and effective randomness. preprint, 2007.
- [RS08] Jan Reimann and Theodore A. Slaman. Measures and their random reals. preprint, 2008.
- [RSte] Jan Reimann and Theodore A. Slaman. The structure of the never continuously random sequences. in preparation, no date.

George Barmpalias INSTITUTE FOR LOGIC, LANGUAGE AND COMPUTATION, UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM, P.O. BOX 94242, 1090 GE AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS.

E-mail address: `barmpalias@gmail.com`

URL: <http://www.barmpalias.net/>

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH, VICTORIA UNIVERSITY, WELLINGTON, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: `greenberg@msor.vuw.ac.nz`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, 5734 S. UNIVERSITY AVE., CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA

E-mail address: `antonio@math.uchicago.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840 USA

E-mail address: `slaman@math.berkeley.edu`